



Neuromorphic Computing and Event-Driven Intelligence Standard



Architecture & Assurance Map

A compact reasoning model for implementation, assessment, and evidence review.



OPERATING POSITION

Event-driven intelligence must prove useful work per joule, not novelty.

EVIDENCE PATH

sensor/event -> spike encoding -> neuromorphic compute -> action -> outcome evidence

READINESS SIGNALS

latency, sparsity, energy, drift, toolchain maturity, fallback

PERMANENT PUBLICATION IDENTITY

Neuromorphic Computing and Event-Driven Intelligence Standard			DOCUMENT ID MYTHOS-EMT-0004 VERSION 1.0.0-candidate STATUS Candidate Standard PUBLISHER Mythos Systems PUBLICATION DATE 2026-07-24 SCHEDULED REVIEW 2027-01-24 CLASSIFICATION Public
18 ATOMIC CRITERIA	6 ASSURANCE VIEWS	4 IMPLEMENTATION PROFILES	1 PERMANENT IDENTITY

Publication doctrine. This publication establishes durable requirements for its defined scope. Interpretation must preserve the permanent document identity, required evidence, controlled exceptions, source currency, and formal revision history.

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY AND ASSURANCE TOPOLOGY

Emerging and Advanced Technology

A trustworthy neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence program is a governed path from research claim through baseline, experiment, safety and security challenge, transition decision, bounded operation, and preserved evidence.

CLASSIFY

Separate established practice, candidate standard, reproduced result, vendor claim, implementation hypothesis, and open research.

EXPERIMENT

Declare baseline, configuration, uncertainty, scenario coverage, stop conditions, and independent reproduction before conclusions.

CONSTRAIN

Identity, policy, safety envelopes, physical boundaries, cryptographic authority, and human intervention limit consequence.

TRANSITION

Adoption, pilot, production, rollback, supplier exit, lifecycle, and retirement decisions remain evidence-bearing and reversible.

EVIDENCE - BOUND TRANSITION FLOW



No novelty claim becomes an operational claim without reproduced evidence and an explicit authority decision

INTERPRETATION AND ASSURANCE

How to apply this publication

Normative intent

Requirements define outcomes and constraints. Implementations may vary, but evidence must demonstrate equivalent control.

Evidence over assertion

Vendor documentation and design intent are not equivalent to reproduced behavior. Record evidence grade and uncertainty.

Risk-proportional depth

Higher consequence, autonomy, sensitivity, and irreversibility require stronger isolation, review, verification, and recovery.

Controlled exception

Exceptions require an owner, rationale, compensating control, residual-risk acceptance, expiration, and reevaluation trigger.

Normalized assessment scale

0	1	2	3	4	5
ABSENT	AD HOC	PARTIAL	OPERATIONAL	ADVANCED	LEADING

A numeric score must never hide a failed mandatory gate, missing evidence, untested workload, or unacceptable residual risk.

INTERACTIVE NAVIGATION

Contents

Executive mandate	6
Scope and applicability	7
Definitions and taxonomy	8
Architecture and trust model	9
Governance and accountability	10
Normative control system	11
Evidence and assessment	16
Assurance views and metrics	17
Failure modes and adversarial scenarios	19
Implementation profiles and lifecycle	20
Adoption roadmap and conformance	22
Source authority and revision control	24

Navigation doctrine

Bookmarks and internal links are conveniences. Permanent document identity, criterion identifiers, evidence references, and revision history remain authoritative.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

0. Executive Mandate

Research value, transition discipline, and non-negotiable evidence boundaries

MANDATE

Organizations evaluating neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence **MUST** separate research from operational authority, establish a reproducible baseline, constrain safety and security consequence, disclose uncertainty, and require a documented transition or rejection decision.

Required outcomes

- Establish a durable, product-neutral evidence system for neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence.
- Prevent novelty, investment, vendor prestige, roadmap language, or laboratory success from substituting for operational proof.
- Require representative performance, adversarial, failure, recovery, safety, privacy, and lifecycle evidence.
- Keep pilots bounded by identity, authority, environment, duration, budget, stop conditions, and rollback.
- Preserve rejected alternatives, limitations, residual risk, source currency, and reassessment triggers.

Decision boundary: conformance supports a bounded engineering assurance position. It does not prove scientific consensus, regulatory approval, universal safety, quantum advantage, cryptographic perfection, autonomous competence, or commercial viability.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

1. Scope and Applicability

Research, pilot, transition, operational, and retirement boundaries

IN SCOPE

- Research, architecture, acquisition, experiment, pilot, transition, operation, and retirement of neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence.
- Hardware, software, models, data, protocols, facilities, people, suppliers, providers, physical processes, and evidence.
- Laboratory, simulation, testbed, shadow, pilot, canary, production, and field deployment states.
- Claims, benchmarks, uncertainty, safety, security, privacy, economics, interoperability, support, and exit.

OUT OF SCOPE

- Scientific truth inferred from a single benchmark, simulation, provider, hardware generation, or laboratory configuration.
- Safety, regulatory, export, spectrum, airspace, clinical, cryptographic, or standards approval not granted by the responsible authority.
- Roadmap forecasts, token price, patent counts, press releases, or investment treated as engineering evidence.
- Hidden production use of research components outside the declared transition and assurance boundary.

Applicability triggers

- The capability is novel, rapidly changing, weakly standardized, difficult to reproduce, or dependent on immature suppliers.
- A claim concerns safety, security, privacy, physical action, critical infrastructure, cryptographic trust, or consequential autonomy.
- The system requires specialized facilities, scarce hardware, spectrum, foundry, cryogenic, optical, robotics, or field-test dependencies.
- Failure or lock-in may create long-lived data, capital, infrastructure, workforce, or regulatory consequences.
- A research component is proposed for pilot, customer, production, public, or externally relied-upon use.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

2. Definitions and Taxonomy

Controlled language for architecture, governance, and assessment

AUTHORITY

The right to approve, deny, constrain, or execute an action. Model output is not authority.

EVIDENCE

A reproducible source, trace, measurement, test, record, signed artifact, or documented observation supporting a claim.

ASSURANCE VIEW

A defined perspective such as governance, architecture, security, operations, privacy, or human oversight.

ATOMIC CRITERION

A uniquely identified requirement that can be assessed, evidenced, excepted, and revised independently.

IMPLEMENTATION PROFILE

A risk-proportional set of required depth, evidence, and gates for a class of use.

CONTROLLED EXCEPTION

A time-bounded deviation with owner, rationale, compensating control, residual risk, expiration, and review trigger.

DETERMINISTIC MECHANISM

A component whose inputs, policy, state transition, and side effects can be constrained and verified.

SOURCE AUTHORITY

The documented basis for treating a source as reliable for a defined claim, context, jurisdiction, and time.


REASSESSMENT TRIGGER

A change, incident, drift signal, dependency revision, or time boundary requiring renewed evaluation.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

3. Architecture and Trust Model

Separation of research, authority, physical systems, runtime, and evidence



Mandatory trust boundaries

- Research systems **MUST NOT** inherit production identity, secrets, authority, data, networks, or physical control by convenience.
- Claims **MUST** retain the exact hardware, software, model, protocol, calibration, environment, workload, and observation boundary.
- Safety, cryptographic, physical, spectrum, autonomy, and human-intervention constraints **MUST** remain independently enforceable.
- Transition **MUST** have a named decision authority, acceptance criteria, monitored pilot, rollback, supplier exit, and retirement path.
- Evidence **MUST** remain reviewable when a vendor, cloud, token network, laboratory, model, robot, or quantum backend is unavailable.

4. Governance and Accountability

Decision rights, separation of duties, and lifecycle ownership

ACCOUNTABLE OWNER

Accepts scope, risk tolerance, funding, and residual risk.

SYSTEM OWNER

Maintains architecture, inventory, operating boundaries, and change control.

SECURITY / PRIVACY

Defines threat, identity, data, isolation, monitoring, and incident requirements.

EVALUATION AUTHORITY

Designs representative tests, gates releases, and preserves reproducibility.

OPERATIONS

Maintains availability, rollback, recovery, evidence, and incident handling.

HUMAN OVERSIGHT

Reviews consequential decisions, exceptions, disputed outcomes, and harm signals.

DECISION PRINCIPLE

No single actor should be able to define the objective, grant authority, execute the consequential action, suppress evidence, and approve the result. Separation must be technical where consequence requires it.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

5. Normative Control System

Atomic criteria 01-04 of 18

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C01 Neuromorphic Workload and Value Hypothesis

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain neuromorphic workload and value hypothesis for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE technology thesis; source-currency review; sponsor, technical owner, and risk decision

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C02 Architecture and Computational Model Classification

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain architecture and computational model classification for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE research-state classification; baseline; competing alternative; prohibited-claim register

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C03 Event Encoding, Time Semantics, and Sensor Interface

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain event encoding, time semantics, and sensor interface for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE experiment plan; versions; configuration; sample; uncertainty; reproducibility bundle

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C04 Network Topology, Neuron, Synapse, and State Definition

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain network topology, neuron, synapse, and state definition for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE security, privacy, safety, rights, export, spectrum, and supplier assessment

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

5. Normative Control System

Atomic criteria 05-08 of 18

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C05

Training, Conversion, Calibration, and Mapping Pipeline

The organization MUST define, implement, verify, and maintain training, conversion, calibration, and mapping pipeline for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record MUST identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims MUST remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE benchmark results with incumbent comparator, resource accounting, and limitations

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C06

On-Chip Learning and Plasticity Governance

The organization MUST define, implement, verify, and maintain on-chip learning and plasticity governance for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record MUST identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims MUST remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE pilot boundary; identities; kill switch; stop conditions; rollback and exit exercise

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C07

Determinism, Stochasticity, and Repeatability

The organization MUST define, implement, verify, and maintain determinism, stochasticity, and repeatability for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record MUST identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims MUST remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE interoperability, standards, skills, support, lifecycle, and ecosystem evidence

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C08

Hardware Resource, Routing, and Placement Constraints

The organization MUST define, implement, verify, and maintain hardware resource, routing, and placement constraints for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record MUST identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims MUST remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE adoption or rejection record; residual risk; reassessment and sunset trigger

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

5. Normative Control System

Atomic criteria 09-12 of 18

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C09

Dataset, Event Stream, and Ground-Truth Authority

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain dataset, event stream, and ground-truth authority for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE technology thesis; source-currency review; sponsor, technical owner, and risk decision

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C10

Accuracy, Latency, Energy, and Sparsity Benchmarking

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain accuracy, latency, energy, and sparsity benchmarking for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE research-state classification; baseline; competing alternative; prohibited-claim register

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C11

Drift, Catastrophic Forgetting, and Continual Adaptation

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain drift, catastrophic forgetting, and continual adaptation for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE experiment plan; versions; configuration; sample; uncertainty; reproducibility bundle

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C12

Fault, Noise, Process Variation, and Degradation Testing

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain fault, noise, process variation, and degradation testing for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE security, privacy, safety, rights, export, spectrum, and supplier assessment

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

5. Normative Control System

Atomic criteria 13-15 of 18

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C13**Safety, Bias, Explainability, and Human Oversight**

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain safety, bias, explainability, and human oversight for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE benchmark results with incumbent comparator, resource accounting, and limitations

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C14**Compiler, Runtime, Driver, and Toolchain Provenance**

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain compiler, runtime, driver, and toolchain provenance for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE pilot boundary; identities; kill switch; stop conditions; rollback and exit exercise

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C15**Hybrid Neuromorphic-Classical Orchestration**

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain hybrid neuromorphic-classical orchestration for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE interoperability, standards, skills, support, lifecycle, and ecosystem evidence

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

5. Normative Control System

Atomic criteria 16-18 of 18

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C16**Security, Side Channel, and Model Extraction Risk**

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain security, side channel, and model extraction risk for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE adoption or rejection record; residual risk; reassessment and sunset trigger

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C17**Portability, Vendor Exit, and Reproducibility**

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain portability, vendor exit, and reproducibility for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE technology thesis; source-currency review; sponsor, technical owner, and risk decision

MYTHOS-EMT-0004-C18**Pilot Gate, Operational Evidence, and Reassessment**

The organization **MUST** define, implement, verify, and maintain pilot gate, operational evidence, and reassessment for the declared neuromorphic computing and event-driven intelligence boundary. The record **MUST** identify accountable ownership, evidence state, exact configuration, assumptions, affected people and systems, failure and recovery behavior, uncertainty, transition authority, and reassessment triggers. Research claims **MUST** remain separate from pilot and production claims, with a baseline, stop conditions, rollback, supplier exit, and recorded adoption or rejection decision.

MINIMUM EVIDENCE research-state classification; baseline; competing alternative; prohibited-claim register

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

6. Evidence and Assessment

Reproduce, compare, challenge, observe, recover, and trace

EXAMINE

Research papers, standards, designs, bills of material, protocols, source, models, data, calibration, configurations, contracts, and claims.

REPRODUCE

Repeat the experiment or assessment with captured versions, seeds, parameters, environment, uncertainty, and acceptance criteria.

COMPARE

Use an honest incumbent, classical, manual, or alternative baseline with equivalent outcome, data, scale, and resource accounting.

CHALLENGE

Apply adversarial input, environmental variation, dependency loss, fault injection, safety cases, security tests, and boundary conditions.

OBSERVE

Measure field or production-like quality, latency, energy, drift, physical behavior, interventions, failures, maintenance, and user impact.

TRACE

Link claim, authority, experiment, configuration, evidence, decision, pilot, change, incident, rollback, and reassessment.

Evidence grades

A

Independently reproduced, current, representative, uncertainty-bounded, integrity-protected, and operationally relevant.

B

Reproduced by the implementing organization with strong provenance, comparator, challenge, and declared limitations.

C

Partial, laboratory-only, simulation-heavy, inherited, or indirect evidence with material uncertainty and dependencies.

D

Roadmap, assertion, demonstration, benchmark excerpt, patent, token metric, press release, or vendor statement without...

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

7. Assurance Views

Six perspectives required for a complete assurance claim

GOVERNANCE

Ownership, purpose, risk tolerance, policy, exception, and decision rights.

ARCHITECTURE

Boundaries, dependencies, failure modes, state, data flow, and portability.

SECURITY

Identity, authorization, isolation, secrets, abuse resistance, and incident response.

OPERATIONS

Reliability, performance, cost, observability, change, recovery, and support.

PRIVACY / RIGHTS

Purpose limitation, minimization, consent, access, correction, deletion, and egress.

HUMAN / SOCIETAL

Usability, accessibility, disclosure, contestability, impact, and human control.

Conformance requires a defensible position across every applicable view. A strong aggregate score cannot compensate for an unowned system, a failed mandatory gate, untested recovery, or evidence below the accepted grade.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

7.2 Evaluation Metrics and Decision Gates

Measures expose utility, uncertainty, safety, physical limits, transition cost, and lifecycle burden

UTILITY

Task outcome, comparator delta, coverage, quality, accuracy, mission success, and stakeholder value.

UNCERTAINTY

Confidence, calibration, variance, sample coverage, reproducibility, sensitivity, and unresolved assumptions.

PERFORMANCE

Latency, throughput, fidelity, range, precision, bandwidth, scale, density, and availability.

SAFETY / SECURITY

Hazard exposure, unsafe action, exploitability, spoofing, physical consequence, intervention, and containment.

RESOURCE

Energy, power, cooling, spectrum, shots, qubits, memory, materials, facility, operator, and maintenance demand.

MATURITY

Standards state, supply chain, interoperability, tooling, skills, support, qualification, and lifecycle readiness.

ECONOMICS

Acquisition, operation, provider, token, facility, migration, insurance, support, and opportunity cost.

TRANSITION

Pilot escape, rollback time, incumbent fallback, portability, lock-in, retirement, and evidence completeness.

Decision gate: thresholds MUST be declared before assessment, include an incumbent baseline and uncertainty statement, and identify any safety, security, cryptographic, physical, reproducibility, or rollback failure that blocks transition.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

8. Failure Modes and Adversarial Scenarios

Challenge assumptions before the system is trusted with consequential work

TECHNOLOGY THEATER

A demonstration or vendor roadmap is treated as proof of production utility or readiness.

REQUIRED: PREVENT | DETECT | CONTAIN | RECOVER | EVIDENCE

RESEARCH-TO-PRODUCTION ESCAPE

Experimental components enter consequential environments without transition controls.

REQUIRED: PREVENT | DETECT | CONTAIN | RECOVER | EVIDENCE

UNSUPPORTED SECURITY CLAIM

Novel cryptographic, decentralized, photonic, or network claims are adopted without reproducible evidence.

REQUIRED: PREVENT | DETECT | CONTAIN | RECOVER | EVIDENCE

ECOSYSTEM COLLAPSE

A supplier, foundry, protocol, token economy, or standards path fails before replacement is viable.

REQUIRED: PREVENT | DETECT | CONTAIN | RECOVER | EVIDENCE

MEASUREMENT ILLUSION

Benchmark, simulation, or laboratory conditions hide scale, environment, energy, or reliability limits.

REQUIRED: PREVENT | DETECT | CONTAIN | RECOVER | EVIDENCE

IRREVERSIBLE LOCK-IN

Data, skills, hardware, interfaces, capital, or operations cannot migrate when the technology stalls.

REQUIRED: PREVENT | DETECT | CONTAIN | RECOVER | EVIDENCE

Adversarial testing MUST protect people and production systems. Use isolated environments, synthetic or minimized data, bounded authority, kill switches, explicit legal and ethical review, and documented stop conditions.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

9. Implementation Profiles

Risk-proportional implementation without weakening mandatory boundaries

FOUNDATIONAL TYPICAL SCOPE Low consequence, limited autonomy, non-sensitive data, reversible outputs. MINIMUM DEPTH Named owner; inventory; basic evaluation; access control; logging; rollback; annual review.	ADVANCED TYPICAL SCOPE Business-critical workflow, sensitive data, multiple tools or providers, moderate autonomy. MINIMUM DEPTH Formal threat model; representative evaluation; approval gates; isolated execution; tested recovery; quarterly review.	HIGH ASSURANCE TYPICAL SCOPE Safety, security, regulated, financial, identity, or broadly consequential use. MINIMUM DEPTH Independent challenge; strongest identity; deterministic enforcement; comprehensive evidence; canary release; continuous monitoring.
---	---	---


Profile selection rule

Choose the highest profile triggered by consequence, autonomy, sensitivity, scale, irreversibility, external dependency, or inability to rapidly detect and recover. Tailoring may strengthen controls; it may not erase a mandatory gate without a controlled exception.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

9.2 Operational Lifecycle

Evidence-bearing operation from concept through retirement



LIFECYCLE INVARIANT

Every stage **MUST** leave sufficient evidence for the next authority to understand what was intended, what changed, what was tested, what failed, what was accepted, what remains uncertain, and how to recover or exit.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

10. Adoption Roadmap

A sequenced path from uncontrolled capability to evidence-bearing operation

0-30 DAYS**Establish ownership and truth**

Inventory systems and dependencies; classify risk; freeze unsupported claims; identify immediate privilege, data, and evidence gaps.

31-90 DAYS**Create enforceable boundaries**

Define policy; isolate execution; implement identity and approval gates; establish representative evaluation and baseline telemetry.

3-6 MONTHS**Prove recovery and operating control**

Exercise failure, rollback, revocation, incident, provider exit, and state-recovery scenarios; mature evidence packaging.

6-12 MONTHS**Scale assurance**

Automate control checks; expand workload coverage; independent challenge; portfolio metrics; controlled exception expiry; continuous reassessment.

Adoption is complete only when operating evidence demonstrates the selected profile in the actual deployment context. Documentation alone is not conformance.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

10.2 Conformance and Exception Statement

What an assurance claim must contain

SYSTEM / SERVICE Named, versioned capability and deployment boundary.	PROFILE Foundational, Advanced, or High Assurance with trigger rationale.
SCOPE Workloads, users, data, tools, regions, providers, and exclusions.	CRITERIA Pass, partial, fail, not applicable, and exception status for every criterion.
EVIDENCE Artifact references, evidence grade, date, environment, and reproducibility.	LIMITATIONS Known failure modes, unsupported workloads, uncertainty, and residual risk.
EXCEPTIONS Owner, rationale, compensating control, expiration, and approval.	VALIDITY Assessment date, change boundary, review date, and reassessment triggers.

Prohibited claim: “compliant” without the named scope, profile, evidence date, limitations, exceptions, and authority accepting residual risk.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

11. Source Authority and Reference Register

Primary sources informing interpretation; verify current status at assessment time

NIST CSF 2.0

Cybersecurity Framework 2.0

<https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.CSWP.29>

Enterprise governance and cybersecurity outcome framework.

NIST Integrated Photonics

Integrated Photonics Program and Metrology

<https://www.nist.gov/programs-projects/integrated-photonics>

Primary research and measurement work for heterogeneous integrated photonic devices.

NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 5

Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and Organizations

<https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-53r5>

Broad organizational control baseline for technology systems and services.

ITU-R M.2160

Framework and Overall Objectives for IMT-2030

<https://www.itu.int/rec/R-REC-M.2160-0-202311-I/en>

In-force framework for IMT-2030 objectives, use cases, and capabilities.

NIST PQC Project

Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization and Migration

<https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/post-quantum-cryptography>

Final PQC standards, continuing algorithm work, migration direction, and official project status.

ETSI ISG PDL

Permissioned Distributed Ledger Specifications

<https://www.etsi.org/committee/pdl>

Primary technical work for governed distributed-ledger systems and decentralized trust.

IEEE P3706

Guide for Brain-Inspired Computing Technologies

<https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/3706/12098/>

Published guide describing brain-inspired computing technology options and terminology.

3GPP Release 20

5G-Advanced Studies and 6G Study Roadmap

<https://www.3gpp.org/specifications-technologies/releases/release-20>

Official release program separating continued 5G-Advanced work from initial 6G studies.

Source currency rule: authorities, specifications, laws, provider services, hardware, protocols, standards status, and research evidence MUST be checked at assessment time. This publication records a 2026-07-24 source snapshot.

MYTHOS-EMT-0004 / EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

11.2 Revision History and Decision Register

Permanent identity, controlled change, and publication integrity

VERSION	DATE	STATE	SUMMARY
1.0.0-candidate	2026-07-24	Candidate	Permanent-publication edition; source refresh; expanded criteria, evidence, assurance, and lifecycle guidance.
Next review	2027-01-24	Scheduled	Review source currency, threat evolution, operating evidence, adoption feedback, and proposed revisions.

Publication decisions

- PERMANENT IDENTITY** The document ID remains stable across revisions; batch or production sequence metadata is not public identity.
- CHANGE CONTROL** Normative changes require rationale, impact analysis, affected-criterion mapping, and approval.
- SUPERSESSION** A superseded edition remains traceable; current routes and catalogs identify the active edition.
- CORRECTION** Material errors require a recorded correction, affected-evidence analysis, and notification appropriate to impact.
- ARCHIVAL INTEGRITY** Published artifacts receive hashes, metadata, and a release manifest sufficient for independent verification.

END OF PERMANENT PUBLICATION / MYTHOS-EMT-0004
MYTHOS SYSTEMS / ENGINEERING STANDARDS LIBRARY