CANONICAL LIVING OVERVIEW GUIDE

Serverless, Event-Driven, and Function Architecture

A serverless and event-driven engineering guide covering functions, scale-to-zero, event contracts, CloudEvents, brokers, queues, streams, workflows, idempotency, state, cold starts, observability, security, cost, and portability.

PERMANENT ID
MYTHOS-FG-CLD-0004

PUBLICATION CLASS
FIELD GUIDE

VERSION / STATUS
1.0.0-candidate / CANDIDATE

PERMANENT PUBLICATION IDENTITY

Serverless, Event-Driven, and Function Architecture

Document ID
MYTHOS-FG-CLD-0004

Version
1.0.0-candidate

Status
Candidate Focused Field Guide

Review date
2026-10-26

Publication position
This is a living field guide. It is designed for frequent revision while retaining a stable permanent identity. Candidate status means the content is ready for structured use and review but is not represented as an external certification or authority publication.

LEVEL	CLASS	CADENCE	EVIDENCE
L1-L4	FIELD GUIDE	QUARTERLY	SOURCE-BOUND
Foundational through frontier	Practical and educational	Interim updates as needed	Limitations remain visible

Reader orientation

Dimension	Engineering position
Primary domain	MYTHOS-CLD - Cloud, Platform & Distributed Systems
Secondary domain	MYTHOS-SWE; MYTHOS-DAT; MYTHOS-SEC
Audience	Cloud, platform, software, integration, data, SRE, and security engineers.
Prerequisites	Distributed systems, APIs, messaging, cloud, software reliability, and observability.
Publisher / owner	Mythos Systems / Aaron Stovall
Public classification	Public technical guidance

Expected outcomes

Choose functions, containers, services, workflows, queues, streams, and brokers according to workload semantics.

Design event contracts with identity, schema, ordering, delivery, idempotency, and evolution.

Build reliable functions that tolerate duplicates, retries, partial failure, cold starts, and dependency outages.

Operate serverless systems with traces, metrics, replay, dead-letter handling, security, and cost controls.

Avoid provider lock-in through portable contracts, tested abstractions, and explicit exit strategies.

How to use this guide

Read the guide as a progressive system rather than a disconnected encyclopedia. Foundational material establishes the mental model; practitioner sections convert it into repeatable implementation and operations; advanced sections expose architecture, scale, security, and failure behavior; frontier sections describe technologies whose evidence or maturity is still evolving.

Suggested reading path
New practitioners should follow the chapters in order and complete the laboratories. Experienced engineers may begin with the architecture, failure, validation, or frontier sections, then use the related-document map to deepen a specific topic.

Learning and assurance model

Level	Reader outcome
L1 - Foundational	Terminology, first principles, component roles, packet or state flow, and simple working examples.
L2 - Practitioner	Implementation, configuration, automation, testing, troubleshooting, and operational evidence.
L3 - Advanced	Architecture, scale, concurrency, resilience, threat models, performance, and complex migrations.
L4 - Frontier	Emerging systems, research directions, technical uncertainty, readiness gates, and adoption signals.

Contents

How to use this guide 3

Contents 3

Chapter 01 - Serverless architecture and execution model 5

Chapter 01 laboratory and review 10

Chapter 02 - Event contracts and CloudEvents 11

Chapter 02 laboratory and review 16

Chapter 03 - Queues, brokers, streams, and delivery semantics 17

Chapter 03 laboratory and review 22

Chapter 04 - Function design, state, and idempotency 23

Chapter 04 laboratory and review 28

Chapter 05 - Workflow and saga orchestration 29

Chapter 05 laboratory and review 34

Chapter 06 - Cold starts, performance, and capacity 35

Chapter 06 laboratory and review 40

Chapter 07 - Security, observability, and incident response 41

Chapter 07 laboratory and review 46

Chapter 08 - Portability, economics, and adoption 47

Chapter 08 laboratory and review 52

Integrated learning roadmap 53

Source authority register 54

Limitations, freshness, and revision control 55

CHAPTER 01

Serverless architecture and execution model

Understand what the platform manages and what the application still owns.

Chapter operating position
Understand what the platform manages and what the application still owns.

1.1 Functions and managed runtimes

Functions and managed runtimes must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Use event or request handlers, runtime lifecycle, packaging, concurrency, limits, identity, and configuration. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for functions and managed runtimes.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

- Treating functions and managed runtimes as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.
- Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.
- Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.
- Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.
- Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.
- Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for functions and managed runtimes.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

1.2 Scale-to-zero and elasticity

Scale-to-zero and elasticity must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Manage activation, cold start, warm instances, burst, maximum scale, fairness, and overload. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for scale-to-zero and elasticity.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Implementation sketch

```
event:
  specversion: "1.0"
  id: order-042
  source: /orders
  type: com.mythos.order.accepted
  subject: order/042
  datacontenttype: application/json
handler:
  idempotency_key: event.id
  timeout_seconds: 20
  retry:
    max_attempts: 5
    backoff: exponential-jitter
  compensation:
    on_partial_failure: release-inventory
```

Failure patterns

Treating scale-to-zero and elasticity as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for scale-to-zero and elasticity.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

1.3 Service and function boundaries

Service and function boundaries must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Choose granularity according to state, latency, ownership, deployment, dependencies, and observability. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for service and function boundaries.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating service and function boundaries as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for service and function boundaries.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

1.4 Shared responsibility and limits

Shared responsibility and limits must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Account for provider runtime, networking, event delivery, logs, keys, quotas, and regional behavior. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for shared responsibility and limits.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating shared responsibility and limits as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for shared responsibility and limits.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

Chapter 01 laboratory and review

Practical laboratory
Compare a synchronous API, background job, stream processor, and scheduled function across serverless and container services.

Laboratory evidence package

- Architecture or topology showing boundaries, identities, dependencies, and authoritative inputs.
- Versioned configuration or code with explanatory comments and reproducible setup instructions.
- Nominal-path validation plus at least two injected failure or boundary conditions.
- Structured logs, traces, metrics, packet captures, test output, or other appropriate evidence.
- A concise decision record covering findings, limitations, residual risks, and next actions.

Frontier extension

Explore WebAssembly functions and disaggregated serverless runtimes.

Review gate
Advance only when another engineer can reproduce the result, explain the architecture, identify the failure boundary, and distinguish measured evidence from assumptions or projections.

CHAPTER 02

Event contracts and CloudEvents

Represent events consistently across producers, routers, consumers, and platforms.

Chapter operating position
Represent events consistently across producers, routers, consumers, and platforms.

2.1 Event identity and metadata

Event identity and metadata must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Use ID, source, type, subject, time, content type, schema, trace context, and extensions. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for event identity and metadata.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

- Treating event identity and metadata as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.
- Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.
- Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.
- Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.
- Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.
- Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for event identity and metadata.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

2.2 Schema and semantic contract

Schema and semantic contract must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Define payload, invariants, optionality, units, identity, privacy, version, and compatibility. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for schema and semantic contract.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Implementation sketch

```
event:
  specversion: "1.0"
  id: order-042
  source: /orders
  type: com.mythos.order.accepted
  subject: order/042
  datacontenttype: application/json
handler:
  idempotency_key: event.id
  timeout_seconds: 20
  retry:
    max_attempts: 5
    backoff: exponential-jitter
  compensation:
    on_partial_failure: release-inventory
```

Failure patterns

Treating schema and semantic contract as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for schema and semantic contract.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

2.3 Bindings and transport

Bindings and transport must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Map events to HTTP, messaging, Kafka, AMQP, WebSockets, and provider systems. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for bindings and transport.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating bindings and transport as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for bindings and transport.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

2.4 Filtering and routing

Filtering and routing must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Use attributes, CloudEvents SQL, subscriptions, authorization, dead letters, and observability. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for filtering and routing.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating filtering and routing as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for filtering and routing.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

Chapter 02 laboratory and review

Practical laboratory

Define a CloudEvents contract and route it across HTTP and a message broker while preserving identity and trace context.

Laboratory evidence package

- Architecture or topology showing boundaries, identities, dependencies, and authoritative inputs.
- Versioned configuration or code with explanatory comments and reproducible setup instructions.
- Nominal-path validation plus at least two injected failure or boundary conditions.
- Structured logs, traces, metrics, packet captures, test output, or other appropriate evidence.
- A concise decision record covering findings, limitations, residual risks, and next actions.

Frontier extension

Explore semantically typed event fabrics and cross-organization event federation.

Review gate

Advance only when another engineer can reproduce the result, explain the architecture, identify the failure boundary, and distinguish measured evidence from assumptions or projections.

CHAPTER 03

Queues, brokers, streams, and delivery semantics

Choose transport according to ordering, replay, scale, and failure behavior.

Chapter operating position
Choose transport according to ordering, replay, scale, and failure behavior.

3.1 Queue and broker semantics

Queue and broker semantics must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Handle visibility, acknowledgment, redelivery, dead letters, priority, delay, and consumer groups. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for queue and broker semantics.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

- Treating queue and broker semantics as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.
- Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.
- Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.
- Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.
- Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.
- Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for queue and broker semantics.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

3.2 Streams and logs

Streams and logs must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Use partitions, offsets, retention, replay, compaction, ordering, and stateful processing. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for streams and logs.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Implementation sketch

```
event:
  specversion: "1.0"
  id: order-042
  source: /orders
  type: com.mythos.order.accepted
  subject: order/042
  datacontenttype: application/json
handler:
  idempotency_key: event.id
  timeout_seconds: 20
  retry:
    max_attempts: 5
    backoff: exponential-jitter
  compensation:
    on_partial_failure: release-inventory
```

Failure patterns

Treating streams and logs as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for streams and logs.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

3.3 At-most, at-least, and effectively-once

At-most, at-least, and effectively-once must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Separate transport promises from application idempotency and transactional effects. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for at-most, at-least, and effectively-once.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating at-most, at-least, and effectively-once as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for at-most, at-least, and effectively-once.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

3.4 Backpressure and overload

Backpressure and overload must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Bound producers, brokers, consumers, retries, lag, storage, and downstream capacity. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for backpressure and overload.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating backpressure and overload as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for backpressure and overload.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

Chapter 03 laboratory and review

Practical laboratory
Simulate duplicate, reordered, delayed, and poisoned events and prove consumer correctness.

Laboratory evidence package

- Architecture or topology showing boundaries, identities, dependencies, and authoritative inputs.
- Versioned configuration or code with explanatory comments and reproducible setup instructions.
- Nominal-path validation plus at least two injected failure or boundary conditions.
- Structured logs, traces, metrics, packet captures, test output, or other appropriate evidence.
- A concise decision record covering findings, limitations, residual risks, and next actions.

Frontier extension

Explore globally replicated event logs with sovereignty-aware routing.

Review gate
Advance only when another engineer can reproduce the result, explain the architecture, identify the failure boundary, and distinguish measured evidence from assumptions or projections.

CHAPTER 04

Function design, state, and idempotency

Build handlers that remain correct across retries and concurrent execution.

Chapter operating position
Build handlers that remain correct across retries and concurrent execution.

4.1 Pure handler and side effects

Pure handler and side effects must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Separate validation, decision, state, external effects, response, and evidence. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for pure handler and side effects.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

- Treating pure handler and side effects as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.
- Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.
- Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.
- Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.
- Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.
- Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for pure handler and side effects.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

4.2 Idempotency keys and deduplication

Idempotency keys and deduplication must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Choose business identity, storage, expiry, concurrency, result replay, and conflict behavior. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for idempotency keys and deduplication.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Implementation sketch

```
event:
  specversion: "1.0"
  id: order-042
  source: /orders
  type: com.mythos.order.accepted
  subject: order/042
  datacontenttype: application/json
handler:
  idempotency_key: event.id
  timeout_seconds: 20
  retry:
    max_attempts: 5
    backoff: exponential-jitter
  compensation:
    on_partial_failure: release-inventory
```

Failure patterns

Treating idempotency keys and deduplication as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for idempotency keys and deduplication.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

4.3 State and coordination

State and coordination must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Use databases, caches, object stores, workflows, durable objects, locks, and transactions. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for state and coordination.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating state and coordination as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for state and coordination.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

4.4 Timeout, retry, and compensation

Timeout, retry, and compensation must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Set deadlines, classify errors, use jitter, avoid retry storms, and reverse partial effects. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for timeout, retry, and compensation.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating timeout, retry, and compensation as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for timeout, retry, and compensation.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

Chapter 04 laboratory and review

Practical laboratory
Implement a payment-like function with idempotency, duplicate delivery, timeout, and compensation tests.

Laboratory evidence package

- Architecture or topology showing boundaries, identities, dependencies, and authoritative inputs.
- Versioned configuration or code with explanatory comments and reproducible setup instructions.
- Nominal-path validation plus at least two injected failure or boundary conditions.
- Structured logs, traces, metrics, packet captures, test output, or other appropriate evidence.
- A concise decision record covering findings, limitations, residual risks, and next actions.

Frontier extension

Explore transactional functions over durable state abstractions.

Review gate
Advance only when another engineer can reproduce the result, explain the architecture, identify the failure boundary, and distinguish measured evidence from assumptions or projections.

CHAPTER 05

Workflow and saga orchestration

Coordinate long-running business processes beyond one function invocation.

Chapter operating position
Coordinate long-running business processes beyond one function invocation.

5.1 Orchestration and choreography

Orchestration and choreography must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Compare central workflow state with event-driven participant coordination. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for orchestration and choreography.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

- Treating orchestration and choreography as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.
- Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.
- Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.
- Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.
- Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.
- Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for orchestration and choreography.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

5.2 Workflow state and determinism

Workflow state and determinism must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Persist decisions, timers, signals, retries, versions, compensation, and human tasks. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for workflow state and determinism.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Implementation sketch

```
event:
  specversion: "1.0"
  id: order-042
  source: /orders
  type: com.mythos.order.accepted
  subject: order/042
  datacontenttype: application/json
handler:
  idempotency_key: event.id
  timeout_seconds: 20
  retry:
    max_attempts: 5
    backoff: exponential-jitter
  compensation:
    on_partial_failure: release-inventory
```

Failure patterns

Treating workflow state and determinism as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for workflow state and determinism.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

5.3 Saga patterns

Saga patterns must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Define local transactions, compensators, pivot steps, irreversibility, and recovery. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for saga patterns.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating saga patterns as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for saga patterns.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

5.4 Serverless Workflow portability

Serverless Workflow portability must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Represent states, events, functions, errors, timeouts, and data flow through portable definitions. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for serverless workflow portability.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating serverless workflow portability as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for serverless workflow portability.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

Chapter 05 laboratory and review

Practical laboratory
Model an order workflow with payment, inventory, shipping, timeout, cancellation, and compensation.

Laboratory evidence package

Architecture or topology showing boundaries, identities, dependencies, and authoritative inputs.

Versioned configuration or code with explanatory comments and reproducible setup instructions.

Nominal-path validation plus at least two injected failure or boundary conditions.

Structured logs, traces, metrics, packet captures, test output, or other appropriate evidence.

A concise decision record covering findings, limitations, residual risks, and next actions.

Frontier extension

Explore agent-assisted workflow generation constrained by formal state and effect contracts.

Review gate
Advance only when another engineer can reproduce the result, explain the architecture, identify the failure boundary, and distinguish measured evidence from assumptions or projections.

CHAPTER 06

Cold starts, performance, and capacity

Engineer latency and throughput under elastic runtime behavior.

Chapter operating position
Engineer latency and throughput under elastic runtime behavior.

6.1 Initialization and dependency load

Initialization and dependency load must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Measure runtime startup, imports, model load, network, credentials, clients, and cache. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for initialization and dependency load.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

- Treating initialization and dependency load as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.
- Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.
- Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.
- Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.
- Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.
- Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for initialization and dependency load.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

6.2 Concurrency and instance lifecycle

Concurrency and instance lifecycle must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Control requests per instance, pools, connections, memory, CPU, and execution limits. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for concurrency and instance lifecycle.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Implementation sketch

```
event:
  specversion: "1.0"
  id: order-042
  source: /orders
  type: com.mythos.order.accepted
  subject: order/042
  datacontenttype: application/json
handler:
  idempotency_key: event.id
  timeout_seconds: 20
  retry:
    max_attempts: 5
    backoff: exponential-jitter
  compensation:
    on_partial_failure: release-inventory
```

Failure patterns

Treating concurrency and instance lifecycle as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for concurrency and instance lifecycle.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

6.3 Provisioned and predictive capacity

Provisioned and predictive capacity must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Use minimum instances, warm pools, schedules, traffic forecasts, and cost tradeoffs. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for provisioned and predictive capacity.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating provisioned and predictive capacity as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for provisioned and predictive capacity.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

6.4 Benchmarking and SLOs

Benchmarking and SLOs must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Measure user latency, activation, handler, dependency, queue, retries, success, and tails. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for benchmarking and slos.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating benchmarking and slos as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for benchmarking and slos.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

Chapter 06 laboratory and review

Practical laboratory
Benchmark a function under cold, warm, burst, and dependency-failure conditions with a complete latency breakdown.

Laboratory evidence package

- Architecture or topology showing boundaries, identities, dependencies, and authoritative inputs.
- Versioned configuration or code with explanatory comments and reproducible setup instructions.
- Nominal-path validation plus at least two injected failure or boundary conditions.
- Structured logs, traces, metrics, packet captures, test output, or other appropriate evidence.
- A concise decision record covering findings, limitations, residual risks, and next actions.

Frontier extension

Explore snapshot-based instant start and hardware-accelerated serverless AI.

Review gate
Advance only when another engineer can reproduce the result, explain the architecture, identify the failure boundary, and distinguish measured evidence from assumptions or projections.

CHAPTER 07

Security, observability, and incident response

Preserve identity, evidence, and containment across ephemeral execution.

Chapter operating position
Preserve identity, evidence, and containment across ephemeral execution.

7.1 Function and service identity

Function and service identity must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Use short-lived credentials, scopes, resource policy, network, secrets, and least privilege. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for function and service identity.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

- Treating function and service identity as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.
- Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.
- Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.
- Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.
- Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.
- Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for function and service identity.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

7.2 Supply chain and deployment

Supply chain and deployment must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Verify source, dependencies, artifacts, signatures, provenance, configuration, and runtime. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for supply chain and deployment.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Implementation sketch

```
event:
  specversion: "1.0"
  id: order-042
  source: /orders
  type: com.mythos.order.accepted
  subject: order/042
  datacontenttype: application/json
handler:
  idempotency_key: event.id
  timeout_seconds: 20
  retry:
    max_attempts: 5
    backoff: exponential-jitter
  compensation:
    on_partial_failure: release-inventory
```

Failure patterns

Treating supply chain and deployment as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for supply chain and deployment.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

7.3 Telemetry and correlation

Telemetry and correlation must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Trace event, queue, function, workflow, database, external API, retries, and business outcome. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for telemetry and correlation.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating telemetry and correlation as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for telemetry and correlation.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

7.4 Incident and recovery

Incident and recovery must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Disable triggers, isolate functions, revoke credentials, replay safely, restore state, and verify. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for incident and recovery.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating incident and recovery as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for incident and recovery.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

Chapter 07 laboratory and review

Practical laboratory
Respond to a compromised function image and determine affected events, data, credentials, and downstream effects.

Laboratory evidence package

Architecture or topology showing boundaries, identities, dependencies, and authoritative inputs.

Versioned configuration or code with explanatory comments and reproducible setup instructions.

Nominal-path validation plus at least two injected failure or boundary conditions.

Structured logs, traces, metrics, packet captures, test output, or other appropriate evidence.

A concise decision record covering findings, limitations, residual risks, and next actions.

Frontier extension

Explore confidential serverless execution and signed event-processing receipts.

Review gate
Advance only when another engineer can reproduce the result, explain the architecture, identify the failure boundary, and distinguish measured evidence from assumptions or projections.

CHAPTER 08

Portability, economics, and adoption

Choose serverless based on lifecycle outcomes rather than minimal code alone.

Chapter operating position
Choose serverless based on lifecycle outcomes rather than minimal code alone.

8.1 Cost model

Cost model must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Measure requests, duration, memory, provisioned capacity, brokers, storage, networking, logs, and support. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for cost model.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

- Treating cost model as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.
- Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.
- Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.
- Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.
- Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.
- Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for cost model.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

8.2 Portability and abstraction

Portability and abstraction must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Use CloudEvents, containers, Knative, open workflows, infrastructure as code, and testable adapters. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

- Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for portability and abstraction.
- Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.
- Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.
- Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.
- Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.
- Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Implementation sketch

```
event:
  specversion: "1.0"
  id: order-042
  source: /orders
  type: com.mythos.order.accepted
  subject: order/042
  datacontenttype: application/json
handler:
  idempotency_key: event.id
  timeout_seconds: 20
  retry:
    max_attempts: 5
    backoff: exponential-jitter
  compensation:
    on_partial_failure: release-inventory
```

Failure patterns

- Treating portability and abstraction as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for portability and abstraction.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

8.3 Lock-in and provider behavior

Lock-in and provider behavior must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Assess event sources, identity, state, networking, limits, observability, regions, and operational tooling. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for lock-in and provider behavior.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating lock-in and provider behavior as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for lock-in and provider behavior.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

8.4 Adoption decision

Adoption decision must be engineered as a governed distributed-system mechanism, not a product label, agent persona, YAML object, or diagram. Compare serverless with services, Kubernetes, batch, edge, and managed integration according to workload. The design should identify authoritative state, workload identities, typed contracts, resource and token budgets, trust boundaries, failure domains, telemetry, rollback, and acceptance evidence.

This guide traces the subject through the event or request, contract, broker, admission, function, state, external effect, retry or compensation, telemetry, and business outcome chain. A running agent, reconciled object, successful API call, healthy proxy, or completed function is not proof of the intended outcome. Desired state must be compared with applied state, external effects, negative tests, degraded behavior, causal evidence, cost, and user or mission results.

Production engineering begins with a minimal known-good control plane and explicit invariants. Add one worker class, model route, controller, policy, event source, gateway, or platform feature at a time; preserve before-state evidence; test authorized and prohibited behavior; inject realistic failures; and retain a tested compensation or rollback path.

Troubleshooting locates the first divergence from the expected state machine. Account for stale watches, leases, retries, eventual consistency, duplicated work, cache state, identity rotation, partial external effects, version skew, prompt injection, overload, network partitions, and missing telemetry. Closure requires causal explanation, reproducibility, validated recovery, and residual limitations.

Engineering practices

Define authority, ownership, desired state, dependencies, limits, and evidence for adoption decision.

Use typed APIs, stable identities, explicit state machines, idempotency, and bounded effects.

Separate control plane, execution plane, data plane, evidence plane, and human decision authority.

Test nominal, prohibited, overloaded, partitioned, partially failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback cases.

Preserve configuration, policy, model, source, trace, event, artifact, approval, and user-outcome evidence.

Scale through quotas, queues, backpressure, fairness, isolation, canaries, and deterministic fan-in.

Failure patterns

Treating adoption decision as a feature rather than an end-to-end stateful system.

Scaling worker count or deployment scope before bounding queues, budgets, retries, and fan-in.

Trusting controller, agent, or proxy status without validating external state and user outcome.

Sharing credentials, workspaces, caches, or context across tenants and roles without explicit policy.

Allowing AI-generated plans, policies, or changes to bypass deterministic validation and human authority.

Declaring recovery after process restart without reconciling orphan effects, leases, artifacts, and evidence.

Validation and evidence

Method	Expected evidence
Examine	Requirements, APIs, identities, state machines, policies, versions, resources, and dependencies for adoption decision.
Observe	Queue, task, controller, model, tool, route, proxy, event, resource, trace, artifact, and user-visible state.
Test	Expected, prohibited, malformed, duplicate, overloaded, partitioned, failed, recovery, upgrade, and rollback conditions.
Measure	Task success, reliability, latency, throughput, fairness, cost, token and compute use, blast radius, and operator burden.
Reconcile	Desired and planned state against actual cloud, cluster, agent, network, data, external-effect, and evidence state.

Chapter 08 laboratory and review

Practical laboratory
Create an adoption decision for an event-driven product including cost, portability, SLOs, state, and exit.

Laboratory evidence package

- Architecture or topology showing boundaries, identities, dependencies, and authoritative inputs.
- Versioned configuration or code with explanatory comments and reproducible setup instructions.
- Nominal-path validation plus at least two injected failure or boundary conditions.
- Structured logs, traces, metrics, packet captures, test output, or other appropriate evidence.
- A concise decision record covering findings, limitations, residual risks, and next actions.

Frontier extension

Explore multi-cloud serverless fabrics and edge-to-cloud event continuity.

Review gate
Advance only when another engineer can reproduce the result, explain the architecture, identify the failure boundary, and distinguish measured evidence from assumptions or projections.

Integrated learning roadmap

The guide is intended to be revisited. First establish fluency, then build a small implementation, operate it under failure, automate repeatable work, and finally evaluate emerging techniques against a stable baseline. Progress is demonstrated through evidence rather than reading completion alone.

Stage	Demonstrated capability
Foundation	Explain the system from first principles and trace its critical path without relying on product terminology.
Build	Implement the smallest representative system with explicit contracts, identities, telemetry, and tests.
Operate	Diagnose injected failures, recover safely, and preserve evidence of the causal chain.
Automate	Convert a proven manual workflow into bounded, idempotent, observable automation.
Architect	Design for scale, resilience, security, interoperability, and lifecycle change.
Explore	Evaluate frontier techniques using stated hypotheses, limitations, and adoption gates.

Source authority register

Source policy
Official standards, specifications, and project documentation remain with their issuing organizations. Mythos Systems provides original engineering interpretation, synthesis, implementation guidance, and relationship mapping. Source verification dates do not guarantee that an authority has not changed since review.

01. CloudEvents - CloudEvents Specification
Role: Common event metadata, bindings, SDKs, and interoperability.
Verified: 2026-07-26
Official source: https://cloudevents.io/

02. Knative - Knative Documentation
Role: Serving, Eventing, Functions, autoscaling, routing, and event delivery.
Verified: 2026-07-26
Official source: https://knative.dev/docs/

03. CNCF Serverless Workflow - Serverless Workflow Specification
Role: Portable workflow definition for event-driven and serverless orchestration.
Verified: 2026-07-26
Official source: https://serverlessworkflow.io/

04. OpenTelemetry - OpenTelemetry
Role: Vendor-neutral telemetry APIs, SDKs, collectors, traces, metrics, and logs.
Verified: 2026-07-26
Official source: https://opentelemetry.io/

05. NIST - SP 800-145 - The NIST Definition of Cloud Computing
Role: Cloud service and deployment model definitions.
Verified: 2026-07-26
Official source: https://csrc.nist.gov/pubs/sp/800/145/final

06. NIST - SP 500-292 - NIST Cloud Computing Reference Architecture
Role: Cloud actors, activities, functions, and reference architecture.
Verified: 2026-07-26
Official source: https://www.nist.gov/publications/nist-cloud-computing-reference-architecture

07. IETF / RFC Editor - RFC 9700 - Best Current Practice for OAuth 2.0 Security
Role: Current OAuth 2.0 security best current practice.
Verified: 2026-07-26
Official source: https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9700

Authority application and refresh triggers

Authority class	Required library action
Protocols and specifications	Recheck interoperability, security considerations, defaults, and migration guidance when a referenced specification changes.
Security and assurance frameworks	Update control mappings, evidence expectations, risk language, and assessment procedures after material framework revision.
Languages, runtimes, and projects	Re-run examples, tests, deprecation checks, and operational recommendations against supported releases.
Benchmarks and evaluations	Re-execute claims when workloads, methods, models, compilers, drivers, hardware, or scoring rules change.
Operational evidence	Incorporate validated incidents, failure tests, reader corrections, and measured implementation outcomes into the next revision.

Limitations, freshness, and revision control

Boundary	Statement
Publication limitation	This guide synthesizes broad engineering practice. It does not replace product documentation, legal advice, safety certification, or environment-specific design review.
Evidence limitation	Not every pattern is benchmarked in every environment. Examples illustrate engineering methods and must be validated against actual versions, workloads, hardware, policy, and failure conditions.
Freshness limitation	Vendor behavior, software libraries, AI models, security threats, and emerging standards can change quickly. Volatile claims require source revalidation before operational adoption.
Adoption limitation	Frontier content is not an implicit adoption recommendation. Adoption requires defined value, qualification gates, controlled proof, rollback, ownership, and residual-risk acceptance.
Status boundary	Candidate Focused Field Guide describes the publication, not certification of a product, implementation, engineer, or organization.

Revision record

Version	Revision statement
1.0.0-candidate	Initial candidate living-guide edition. Published 2026-07-26; scheduled review 2026-10-26.

Search and relationship metadata

Dimension	Engineering position
Primary domain	MYTHOS-CLD - Cloud, Platform & Distributed Systems
Secondary domain	MYTHOS-SWE; MYTHOS-DAT; MYTHOS-SEC
Publication class	Field Guide / Canonical Living Overview Guide
Skill levels	L1 Foundational; L2 Practitioner; L3 Advanced; L4 Frontier
Tags	serverless, event driven, functions, CloudEvents, Knative, workflow, saga, idempotency, cold start, event architecture
Canonical route	/library/field-guides/mythos-fg-cld-0004/

Living publication

Corrections, expanded laboratories, improved diagrams, authority changes, and new engineering evidence should revise this permanent publication rather than create disconnected replacements.