


MYTHOS-AUTH-EXEC-0001

Authority Crosswalk Executive Portfolio

External authority coverage, confidence, expiry,
and limitations



MAPPING DOCTRINE

Directional mappings only. No certification,
legal compliance, implementation
effectiveness, or clause-level equivalence is
implied without explicit evidence.

Portfolio position

Nine permanent authority crosswalks connect external authorities to the Mythos library without claiming certification or equivalence.

OPERATING POSITION

Use the crosswalks to discover relevant external authorities, pin versions, scope assessments, and preserve traceability. Do not use them as proof that a Mythos control satisfies an external obligation or that an implementation is effective.

9

CROSSWALKS

89

SOURCE RECORDS

1064

DIRECTIONAL MAPS

0

EXACT EQUIVALENCE CLAIMS


Authority landscape

Coverage spans federal guidance, operational directives, protocol standards, secure-development references, threat taxonomies, and benchmark programs.

AUTH-001	NIST Current-Version Authority Crosswalk	19 sources
AUTH-002	CISA Directives, Catalogs, and Operational Guidance Crosswalk	7 sources
AUTH-003	CIS Controls and Benchmarks Crosswalk	3 sources
AUTH-004	IETF, RFC, IANA, and OpenID Protocol Crosswalk	18 sources
AUTH-005	IEEE, Wi-Fi Alliance, Thread, and Matter Crosswalk	6 sources
AUTH-006	OWASP and CERT Application, API, AI, Mobile, and Disclosure Crosswalk	9 sources
AUTH-007	DoD, DISA, DFARS, and CMMC Crosswalk	7 sources
AUTH-008	MITRE and FIRST Threat, Defense, and Vulnerability Crosswalk	7 sources
AUTH-009	External Benchmark and Industry Measurement Crosswalk	13 sources

Freshness and volatility

Short-cycle sources require faster review than stable final publications.



LEADERSHIP RULE

Treat expiry as a decision-control, not paperwork. A source can remain historically useful after expiry, but it cannot support a current mapping decision until revalidated.

Mapping doctrine

The crosswalk answers relationship questions; assessment answers effectiveness questions.

Authority applicability	Crosswalk	Does this external source apply to the decision/system?
Version currency	Crosswalk	Which exact version or dated snapshot is current?
Directional relationship	Crosswalk	Which Mythos publications address related outcomes?
Implementation state	Assessment	Is the required behavior implemented?
Operating effectiveness	Assessment	Does it work under representative and adverse conditions?
Certification / legal status	External authority / qualified counsel	Never inferred from the crosswalk.

Executive reading path

Start broad, then descend only into the authority families relevant to the decision.

1

IDENTIFY

Applicable jurisdictions, contracts, frameworks, protocols, and benchmarks.

2

PIN

Exact final version or dated living snapshot.

3

MAP

Directional Mythos targets and confidence.

4

ASSESS

Implementation and operating evidence.

5

REVALIDATE

Expiry, source change, conflict, or architecture change.

Executive limitations

The crosswalk portfolio is intentionally conservative.

No universal compliance claim. No implied certification. No legal conclusion. No reverse equivalence. No operating-effectiveness claim. No use of draft or rolling sources without explicit status and expiry. No hidden conflicts. No mapping survives source supersession without revalidation.

NEXT SCHEDULED REVIEW

2026-11-16

Earlier review is mandatory after a material source revision, new final edition, regulatory change, crosswalk conflict, affected Mythos revision, or invalidating implementation evidence.